

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.inpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/311,148	05/13/1999	TETSURO MOTOYAMA	5244-0092-2	9858
22850	7590 09/03/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MYLINH T	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		,	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			2174	24
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	-				
Advisory Action		Application No. Applicant(s)			
		09/311,148	MOTOYAMA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mylinh T Tran	2174		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
Theref final reconditi	REPLY FILED 21 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE To fore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeanation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
fee have fee unde (2) as se	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The eleben filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•			
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);		
(b)	) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d)	they present additional claims without canceli  NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		dered but does NOT place the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected:				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	·		

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued the prior arts do not teach the feature "automatically upon start-up of the target application" Applicant's attention is directed to the lines "The system is capable of capturing human factors data, including screen images and associated keystrokes entered during a user session...and providing such data to a systems analyst to determine usability of host computer resident software" on column 2, lines 5-12. It is clearly that the users do not need to tell the system to capture this operation or that one but the program automatically captures them.